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Abstract—A four-port ultra-wideband (UWB) multi-input multi-output (MIMO) Vivaldi antenna loaded with resistance and rectangular radiation patch is designed and fabricated. The compact antenna consists of an improved ground and four microstrip feeders, with an overall size of 26 mm × 52 mm × 0.8 mm. The antenna adopts the resistance loading technology to absorb the excess electromagnetic waves in the low-frequency band and broaden the low-frequency bandwidth of the antenna. The rectangular radiation patch loading technique optimizes the main radiation direction and broadens the high-frequency bandwidth of the antenna. Meanwhile, T-slots and fence-type structures are etched on the ground plane, and I-stubs are added between microstrip feeders to reduce the antenna coupling and increase the isolation degree between the antenna ports. Simulation and experiments show that the impedance bandwidth of the MIMO antenna is 3.0 ~ 12.3 GHz; the isolation degree of the whole working bandwidth is higher than 15 dB; the envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) is smaller than 0.43; and the increased diversity gain (DG) is more significant than 9.98 dBi. The antenna has good radiation performance and stable gain, which is suitable for applying the UWB MIMO system. This antenna has a particular reference significance for the research of the MIMO Vivaldi antenna.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wireless communication technology is developing rapidly, and ultra-wideband (UWB) technology [1–3] has been widely studied because of its high transmission rate, strong interference immunity, and ease of fabrication. Although UWB technology has significant advantages, it also faces problems such as reliability and multipath fading. As a result, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology [4] has emerged. MIMO technology is a diverse technology using multiple transmitting and receiving antennas. This technology can transform multipath fading, which is unfavorable to wireless communication transmission, into a favorable factor that improves the reliability of data transmission in the system. Combining UWB and MIMO technology [5–9] can improve data transmission efficiency and suppress the multipath effect. It can also improve the quality and capacity of communication.

MIMO antenna systems must ensure small size and low mutual coupling to be compatible with modern portable small communication devices. Various decoupling structures [10–21] have been used to reduce the mutual coupling of MIMO antennas under the condition that the antenna size is fixed. In [10], a new type of barrier decoupling structure was proposed; by introducing a palisade decoupling structure on the ground surface of the antenna and an I-type branch between the antenna radiation units, the working band isolation of the antenna was enhanced. In [11], a T-slot was etched between two tapered gaps to improve the isolation of the antenna. In [12], a new ITI decoupling structure was designed and placed in the middle of the patch antenna. At the same time, an asymmetric defective ground structure was adopted. The two forms were combined to reduce the mutual coupling between antennas and improve the degree of isolation between antennas. In [13], two radiation units were...
placed orthogonally to use orthogonality decoupling of polarization. Still, the effect could not meet the isolation requirement of the MIMO antenna, so two mutually orthogonal U-shape branches were added on the back of the antenna to improve the isolation between the antennas. In [14], firstly, the mutual coupling between antennas was reduced by increasing the distance between two patch antennas; secondly, T-shape branches were added on the antenna floor to act as reflecting plates to reduce the mutual coupling between antennas further. In [15], two antenna elements were rotated 45 degrees to the left and 45 degrees to the right to make the antenna elements orthogonal to each other, to improve the coupling performance between antennas. In [16], a compact three-band gap complementary split-ring resonator (CTBG-CSRR) unit was proposed, loaded between two microstrip-fed Vivaldi antennas, to reduce the mutual coupling of the three bands in WLAN applications. In [17, 18], neutralization line technology was used to decouple antennas.

In this paper, a four-element UWB MIMO Vivaldi antenna is proposed. T-slots and fence structures are etched into the antenna floor, and I-typed branches are added between radiating ports to increase the isolation degree between antenna units. To ensure the UWB performance of the antenna, resistors and rectangular patch-loading technologies are used. The loaded resistor can absorb the extra electromagnetic wave of the antenna in the low-frequency band so that the antenna bandwidth moves to the low frequency. Loading the rectangular patch as a guide can standardize the main radiation direction of the antenna and improve the antenna impedance matching characteristics; the influence is more evident in the high-frequency band. The working bandwidth of the antenna is 3.0 ~ 12.3 GHz; the isolation degree in the whole frequency band is greater than 15 dB; and ECC < 0.43, which meets the requirements of the UWB MIMO antenna. The actual test results are in good agreement with the simulation ones.

2. ANTENNA DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

2.1. Antenna Structure

The designed antenna structure is shown in Figure 1. The antenna is printed on an FR4 board with a dielectric constant of 4.4, and the loss tangent value of the dielectric substrate is 0.02. The antenna comprises four Vivaldi antennas arranged in a 2 × 2 array structure. A 50-ohm microstrip wire feeds each Vivaldi antenna through the design of microstrip wire to slot wire (the feed ports are 1, 2, 3, 4 from top to bottom and from left to right). The front of the antenna comprises four tapered slots, resistors, radiation patches, and decoupling structures, and the back of the antenna consists of four microstrip feeders and I-type branches.

![Figure 1. Structure of the antenna. (a) Top view. (b) Bottom view.](image)

The conical curve function of the Vivaldi antenna is as follows [22]:

\[ y = \pm (C_1 e^{ax} + C_2) \]  

(1)

\((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\) are controlled by \(S\) and \(D\); \(a = 0.2\), \(a\) is the curvature of the conical curve, generally
0–1, which determines the bending degree of the conical angle.

\[ C_1 = \frac{y_1 - y_2}{e^{ax_2} + e^{ax_1}} \]

\[ C_2 = \frac{y_1 e^{ax_2} - y_2 e^{ax_1}}{e^{ax_2} - e^{ax_1}} \]

\( D \) determines the antenna’s working frequency band’s high-frequency point, which should be greater than half of the wavelength corresponding to the high-frequency cutoff frequency. \( S \) determines the low frequency of the antenna’s operating band, which must be greater than half of the wavelength corresponding to the low-frequency cutoff frequency. Table 1 shows the structural parameters of electromagnetic simulation software HFSS after simulation and optimization.

Table 1. Optimized antenna parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>( W )</th>
<th>( W_1 )</th>
<th>( W_2 )</th>
<th>( W_3 )</th>
<th>( W_4 )</th>
<th>( W_5 )</th>
<th>( W_6 )</th>
<th>( W_7 )</th>
<th>( W_8 )</th>
<th>( S )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value (mm)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>( L_1 )</td>
<td>( L_2 )</td>
<td>( L_3 )</td>
<td>( L_4 )</td>
<td>( L_5 )</td>
<td>( L_6 )</td>
<td>( L_7 )</td>
<td>( L_8 )</td>
<td>( D )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value (mm)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Antenna Design Process

To better understand the antenna structure and facilitate the analysis of antenna parameters, the design process of the four-port MIMO Vivaldi antenna based on resistance and rectangular patch loading is shown in Figure 2. First of all, because the Vivaldi antenna has broadband characteristics, symmetrical...
radiation pattern, and low cross-polarization, the design chooses to use Vivaldi antenna as the basic unit of the MIMO antenna. It forms a four-port UWB MIMO Vivaldi antenna with $2 \times 2$ arrays, as shown in antenna 1. Secondly, to reduce the mutual coupling between antenna elements and improve the isolation performance of the antenna, two T-shape gaps are etched on the antenna floor, as shown in antenna 2. At this time, the isolation between the ports of the antenna is still not ideal, so to further reduce the mutual coupling between the antennas, a fence-type gap is etched on the floor of the antenna, and an I-type branch is loaded on the radiating port, as shown in antenna 3. Finally, to optimize the impedance matching characteristics of the antenna and expand the antenna bandwidth, a resistor is loaded at the opening of the conical gap, and a rectangular radiation patch is loaded in the middle of the conical groove, as shown in antenna 4.

Figure 3 shows the reflection coefficient of each port of antenna 4. The reflection coefficients of each antenna port are lower than $-10$ dB at 3–12.3 GHz, indicating that the antenna has UWB characteristics and suitable impedance-matching characteristics.

![Figure 3. Reflection coefficient of each port. $S_{ii}$ ($i$ is 1, 2, 3, 4).](image)

### 2.3. Decoupling Design

As shown in Figure 2 for antenna 2 and antenna 3, the decoupled structure of the antenna consists of three parts: T-slot, fence structure, and I-branch. First, a T-slot is etched between the two conical slots in the longitudinal arrangement. The T-slot can increase the current path between the two conical slots, thus reducing the mutual coupling and improving their isolation. At this time, the isolation of each antenna port is improved compared with antenna 1, and especially the isolation between ports 1 and 2 and between ports 3 and 4 is decreased significantly. However, the isolation degree does not meet the isolation requirement of MIMO antenna. Second, a fence structure is etched between two tapered grooves arranged horizontally. From the circuit perspective, these rectangular gaps can be equivalent to a band-stop filter, which shows high impedance characteristics in the UWB MIMO antenna and is crucial in suppressing the mutual coupling of ground surface waves. Therefore, the fence structure can effectively improve antenna isolation. The longitudinal coupling and cross-coupling between the antenna ports have been greatly reduced, but lateral coupling still exists in the low-frequency band. Finally, I-type branches are loaded on the back of the antenna between ports 1 and 3 and between ports 2 and 4. The I-branch creates a current path distributed along this branch, adequately suppressing the current between port 1 and port 3, acting as an isolator.

Figure 4 shows the isolation curves of port 1 and the other three ports. With the addition of the decoupling structure, the mutual coupling between the antennas gradually decreases, and the isolation between the ports gradually increases. It shows that the decoupling structure helps to improve the isolation degree of the designed MIMO antenna. It was eventually concluded that the isolation degree between the MIMO antennas is greater than 15 dB in the whole working frequency band and greater
than 20 dB in the high-frequency band, which meets the isolation requirement of MIMO antennas.

Figure 4 shows the variation curves of port isolation during antenna design. Figure 5 shows the current distribution on the surface of the 4 GHz and 10 GHz MIMO antenna. It can be seen from the figure that when radiation unit 3 is excited, the current is mainly distributed in the radiation

Figure 4. The evolution process of isolation of ports, $S_{ij}$ ($i, j$ is 1, 2, 3, 4). (a) $S_{12}$. (b) $S_{13}$. (c) $S_{14}$.

Figure 5. Simulated surface current distribution. (a) 4 GHz. (b) 10 GHz.
unit 3 and its corresponding conical slot, fence structure, edge of the T-slot, and I-type branch. These
decoupling structures significantly reduce the coupling between port 3 and other ports so that more
energy is coupled to the conical slot corresponding to radiation unit 3, thus improving the transmission
performance of the Vivaldi antenna.

2.4. Loading Resistance and Rectangular Radiant Patch

The Vivaldi antenna can expand the antenna bandwidth by changing the structure of the radiation
arm [23], resistance loading [24–26], medium loading [27], metal radiation patch loading [28–30], and
other methods.

The resistance loading technique is to select the appropriate position in the antenna structure to
load the resistance element with a specific resistance value. Generally, the resistance is loaded at the
opening of the conical groove or other locations where the current distribution is not concentrated to
absorb the excess reflected electromagnetic waves in the low-frequency band, which extends the low-
band bandwidth of the antenna. Since the resistance is a lumped element, the radiation efficiency and
gain of the antenna will be affected if the resistance value of the loaded resistance is too high or if the
resistance is loaded to the place where the current distribution of the antenna is dense. Therefore, the
resistance value and loading position should be carefully considered when loading resistance. Figure 6
shows the reflection coefficient of port 2 (the reflection parameters of each port of the MIMO antenna
are similar, so one of them is taken to study) graphs under different resistance values loaded based
on antenna three. Due to the loading of resistor R, the impedance bandwidth corresponding to port 2
becomes more expansive, and the low-frequency band’s impedance characteristics improve progressively.
The impedance characteristics of the high-frequency band become worse, and combined with the careful
consideration of other antenna performances, it is finally decided that the value of R is 51 ohm.

![Figure 6. Simulated results of S_{22} with different values of R.](image)

![Figure 7. Simulated results of S_{44} with different values of L3.](image)

According to the principle of the Yagi antenna metal guide, in the direction of electromagnetic
wave propagation, metal objects with specific regular arrangement can play a role in the directional
traction of electromagnetic waves. According to this principle, Vivaldi antenna researchers usually load
metal patches with particular rules or unique shapes at the opening of the conical slot. Directional
traction of electromagnetic waves can regulate the antenna’s main radiation direction and improve the
antenna’s gain and bandwidth in the high-frequency band. As shown in Figure 2 antenna 4, a rectangular
metal patch is embedded in the opening of the antenna tapered slot to guide the electromagnetic wave
transmission from the aperture of the antenna to the edge of the dielectric plate to avoid unnecessary
electromagnetic wave radiation and optimize the transmission characteristics of the antenna. The
metal patch’s shape, size, and loading position influence the antenna; the common shapes are rectangle,
triangle, oval, composite shape, etc. The antenna uses a rectangular metal patch, and the effect of the length $L_3$ of the patch on the antenna impedance bandwidth is studied.

Figure 7 shows the reflection coefficient of port 4 curve of 3–5 mm, and a 0.5 mm step size for $L_3$ is taken. With the growth of $L_3$, the lowest frequency point hardly moves; the highest frequency point gradually moves to the right; and the reflection coefficient of port 4 curve moves downward.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To verify the practicality of the designed UWB MIMO Vivaldi antenna, the actual antenna is fabricated, and its parameters are measured. Figure 8 is a physical antenna picture; the $S$-parameters of the antenna are measured by connecting the SMA interface with the vector network analyzer. The radiation performance of the antenna was tested in a microwave anechoic chamber. Error in antenna fabrication and measurement is unavoidable, so the simulation and test results cannot match completely. In addition, the antenna needs to weld four SMA connectors and multiple resistors, and the complicated welding process is also a reason for the error.

3.1. $S$-Parameter

Figure 9 is the antenna $S$ parameter diagram, from which it can be seen that the simulation curve is consistent with the measurement curve. The measured antenna impedance bandwidth is 3.0–12.3 GHz; $S_{11} < -10$ dB; antenna isolation is $> 15$ dB in the whole working bandwidth; and high-frequency band isolation is $> 20$ dB. The antenna has good impedance characteristics and port isolation.

3.2. Radiation Pattern

Figure 10 shows the radiation patterns simulated and tested at 4, 6.8, and 10 GHz when the designed MIMO antenna port 1 is excited. The consistency between the simulated and measured radiation patterns verifies the correctness of the design. The experimental results show that the antenna has good radiation performance.

3.3. Diversity Analysis

Diversity characteristics are essential for MIMO antennas and can be evaluated by the envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) and diversity gain (DG). In general, ECC must be very small to obtain better antenna diversity characteristics and increase the degree of independence of received information between antenna units. The ideal ECC value is 0, and the ECC is generally less than 0.5 for MIMO antennas. DG is also an important parameter that shows the effect of the diversity scheme of the
MIMO antenna on the radiated power. The equations for calculating ECC ($\rho_{\text{eij}}$) and DG using far-field radiation and $S$ parameters are as follows [31–33]:

$$
\rho_{\text{eij}} = \frac{\left| \int_{0}^{4\pi} \int_{0}^{4\pi} \bar{R}_i(\theta, \phi) \times \bar{R}_j(\theta, \phi) \, d\Omega \right|^2}{\int_{0}^{4\pi} \left| \bar{R}_i(\theta, \phi) \right|^2 \, d\Omega \int_{0}^{4\pi} \left| \bar{R}_j(\theta, \phi) \right|^2 \, d\Omega}
$$

$$
\rho_{\text{e}}(i,j,N) = \prod_{k=(i,j)}^{N} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} S_{i,n}^* S_{n,j} \right|^2
$$

$$
DG = 10 \times \sqrt{1 - |\rho_{\text{eij}}|^2}
$$

The ECC and DG based on far-field radiation calculations are shown in Figure 11 (According to the specificity of the arrangement structure of the designed antenna, only the relationship between port 1 and the other three ports needs to be studied here.). In the entire impedance bandwidth, ECC < 0.43, DG > 9.65 dBi, indicating that the MIMO antenna has outstanding characteristics with low correlation and high diversity gain.

### 3.4. Total Active Reflection Coefficient

For MIMO systems, adjacent antenna units affect each other and may affect the overall working bandwidth and efficiency. To account for this effect, a new index is introduced as the total active reflection coefficient (TARC). Standard four-port MIMO systems can be described by Formulas (7) and (8) [34, 35].

$$
TRAC = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i1} + \sum_{m=2}^{N} S_{im} e^{j\theta_{m-1}}}{\sqrt{N}}}
$$
Figure 10. Simulated and measured results of radiation patterns. (a) 4 GHz. (b) 6.8 GHz. (c) 10 GHz.

\[
TARC = \sqrt{\frac{|S_{11} + S_{12}e^{j\theta_1} + S_{13}e^{j\theta_2} + S_{14}e^{j\theta_3}|^2 + |S_{21} + S_{22}e^{j\theta_1} + S_{23}e^{j\theta_2} + S_{24}e^{j\theta_3}|^2 + |S_{31} + S_{32}e^{j\theta_1} + S_{33}e^{j\theta_2} + S_{34}e^{j\theta_3}|^2 + |S_{41} + S_{42}e^{j\theta_1} + S_{43}e^{j\theta_2} + S_{44}e^{j\theta_3}|^2}{4}}
\]

The TRAC results calculated with signals of different phases in the input are shown in Figure 12. There is a slight variation in bandwidth and resonant frequency, but the obtained results are still considered suitable for the intended application of optimal MIMO performance.
3.5. Antenna Radiation Efficiency

The radiation efficiency of the antenna is shown in Figure 13. It can be observed that the radiation efficiency over the whole working bandwidth is about 75% and up to 91%. But the radiation efficiency of the low-frequency band is not ideal, possibly because of the effect of electrical resistance.

3.6. Comparison with Related Works

Table 2 compares the antenna designed in this paper with related works in the references. The antenna as a four-port antenna is very advantageous in size, and the bandwidth and isolation of this antenna in a relatively compact structure can also meet the requirements of the MIMO antenna system well. It shows the practicality of the designed antenna.

Table 2. Comparison of the designed antenna with previous work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Number of ports</th>
<th>Isolation Improvement Techniques</th>
<th>Overall Siza (mm³)</th>
<th>Operating bands (GHz)</th>
<th>Min. Isolation (dB)</th>
<th>ECC</th>
<th>Diversity Gain (dBi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slotted ground plane</td>
<td>48 × 31 × 1.6</td>
<td>2.28–2.47 3.34–3.73 4.57–6.75</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>9.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Parasitic decoupler</td>
<td>60 × 60 × 1.52</td>
<td>3–12.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>T-shaped stub</td>
<td>42 × 30 × 0.79</td>
<td>2.8–4.9 5.4–11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fence-typed structure</td>
<td>50 × 35 × 1</td>
<td>3–11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ITI-shaped structure</td>
<td>72 × 56 × 0.8</td>
<td>2.24–2.90 3.9–7.55</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Hybrid decoupling structure</td>
<td>26 × 52 × 0.8</td>
<td>3.0 ~ 12.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a four-port MIMO Vivaldi antenna is proposed. The antenna takes the Vivaldi antenna as the basic unit and is arranged in a $2 \times 2$ array. The antenna size of the compact structure is only $26 \text{ mm} \times 52 \text{ mm} \times 0.8 \text{ mm}$. Load resistance to extend the antenna’s low-frequency bandwidth at the antenna taper slot opening. The antenna’s main radiation direction and high frequency are optimized by loading a rectangular radiation patch in the middle of the cone groove. The hybrid method is used to decouple the antenna and make it have a good isolation degree. The experimental structure shows that the working bandwidth of the antenna is $3.0 \sim 12.3 \text{ GHz}$. The isolation between the antenna ports is higher than $15 \text{ dB}$ in the whole frequency band, but the isolation between port 1 and port 2 and between port 1 and port 4 is higher than $20 \text{ dB}$ in the high-frequency band. The envelope correlation coefficient $\text{ECC} < 0.43$, and the antenna has good diversity gain. In conclusion, the antenna has good radiation performance and stable gain, which is suitable for the application to UWB MIMO systems.
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